

Collision Avoidance of multiple MAVs using a multiple Outputs to Input Saturation Technique

September 2017

C. Chauffaut, L Burlion, F Defaÿ & Henry de Plinval



I) Problem statement and Background

- **II)** Proposed Solution
- III) Experiment



Collision avoidance of multiple MAVs

still a big issue according to:

A. Abdessameud and A. Tayebi. *Motion Coordination* for VTOL Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. Springer, 2013.

Existing solutions mainly use potential fields:

R. Olfati-Saber and R.M. Murray. Distributed cooperative control of multiple vehicle formations using structural potential functions. *FAC Proceedings Volumes*, 35(1):495 – 500, 2002. 15th IFAC World Congress.

L. Garcia-Delgado, A. Dzul, V. Santibanez, and M. Llama. Quad-rotors formation based on potential functions with obstacle avoidance. *IET Control Theory Applications*, 6(12):1787–1802, 2012.

Here, we propose a novel solution based on the OIST technique



But what is OIST ?

OIST : Output to Input Saturation Technique



L. Burlion. A new saturation function to convert an output constraint into an input constraint. In *Control Automation (MED), 2012 20th Mediterranean Conference on*, pages 1217–1222, 2012.

E. Chambon, L. Burlion, and P. Apkarian. Timeresponse shaping using output to input saturation transformation. *International Journal of Control*, to appear in 2017.



1) OIST : relative degree 0 (z = u)





2) OIST : relative degree 0 (z = Cx + u)





3) OIST : relative degree 1 (z = Cx ; zdot = CA x + G u)





4) OIST : general case





OIST was previously used for obstacle avoidance in

C. Chauffaut, F. Defay, L. Burlion, and H. de Plinval. Uav obstacle avoidance scheme using an output to input saturation transformation technique. In 2016 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS), pages 227–234, 2016.







Main features of the previous approach:

1. design a Baseline controller on each axis

$$m\ddot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\mg \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{d}} \qquad \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{d}} = m\ddot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\boldsymbol{d}} + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\mg \end{pmatrix} - m\Lambda_{\mathbf{1}}^{2}\delta_{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{1}}}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{1}}}\int_{0}^{t}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{1}}dt$$

2. use the OIST methodology (relative degree 2) to constrain the distance d_o to the obstacle

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} := \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{o}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \begin{bmatrix} F_{d,x} \\ F_{d,y} \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} F_{d,x} \\ F_{d,y}^{sat} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{o}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} Sat^{+\infty}_{h_1(\dot{\xi}, d_o, \dot{d}_0)}(u_1) \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. add an <u>additional</u> logic to avoid being stuck on the obstacle



Here, compared to our preceding result, (ICUAS 2016)

- the obstacle is now moving (since it is another UAV)
- we want to suppress « the additional simple logic » to avoid the UAV being stuck on the obstacle
 - the scheme is currently limited to 3 UAVs because each UAV can only avoid one UAV





Main features of the novel approach:

1. design a Baseline controller on each axis

$$m\ddot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\mg \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{d}} \qquad \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{d}} = m\ddot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\boldsymbol{d}} + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\mg \end{pmatrix} - m\Lambda_{\mathbf{1}}^{2}\delta_{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{1}}}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{1}} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{1}}}\int_{0}^{t}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{1}}dt$$

- 2. use the OIST methodology (relative degree 2) to constrain the distance d_o to the moving obstacle
- use also OIST to constrain the velocity to be different from 0 on the boundary of the obstacle (relative degree 1 constrained output)



II) Proposed solution

Result:

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{d,x}^{sat} \\ F_{d,y}^{sat} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{o}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} Sat_{h_1(\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, d_o, \dot{d}_0)}^{+\infty}(u_1) \\ 2Sat_{h_2(\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, d_o, \dot{d}_0)}^{+\infty}(u_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(\dot{\xi}, d_o, \dot{d}_0) &= -D_1 - (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \dot{d}_{o,2} \\ &-\kappa_1 \kappa_2 (d_{o,2} - d_{o,inf}^2) \\ h_2(\dot{\xi}, d_o, \dot{d}_0) &= -D_2 - \kappa_3 \dot{d}_{o,2} - \kappa_4 (\phi_\perp - d_{o,inf} v_\perp^\#) \\ &-\kappa_3 \kappa_4 (d_{o,2} - d_{o,inf}^2)) \end{aligned}$$



3 UAVs





Framework for experimentation





Guidance and control loops





Inner Loops (experimental results)





Guidance (experimental results)





Guidance (experimental results)

VIDEO



We proposed a novel guidance solution based on OIST to avoid a moving obstacle.

Such a solution was applied to the formation flight of 3 MAVs

Experimental results support the newly proposed methodology

Stability proofs were not provided here but are in good progress





Thank you for your attention !

For any further questions regarding:

OIST, please contact: lburlion@onera.fr, Henry.de_Plinval@onera.fr

Experiments, please contact: francois.defay@isae-supaero.fr, corentin.chauffaut@isae-supaero.fr

